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Abstract

We studied the reproduction, population structure and growth of the common mussel (Mytilus edulis L.) off the Island of Seili in the Archipelago Sea of Finland in summer 1996. The discharge of gametes occurred from 26 July to 2 August. The breeding period was short and repeated spawning was not found. Density of mussels ranged from 1250 to 16730 ind m⁻² and biomass from 250 to 2100 g m⁻². Size of individuals (shell length) ranged from 0.6 mm (spat) to 44.0 mm (nine years old). The distribution of age groups in the mussel population demonstrates the stability of recruitment over several previous years. The most rapidly growing individuals (reaching up to 41 mm at the end of the seventh year) were registered at the depth of 8 m. The average growth rate of molluscs from different habitats did not vary. A Bertalanffy model of ontogenetic growth, based on seasonal changes in the water temperature, was constructed for the "average individual" of this population.

1. Introduction

The common mussel (Mytilus edulis L.) is one of the most important invertebrates on the rocky and gravel bottoms of the eastern and northern Baltic Sea. On these bottoms it accounts for more than 80 % of the total invertebrate biomass (Jansson and Kautsky 1977, Kautsky 1989). Mussels have an important role in the recycling of nutrients and other mineral and organic matter (Kautsky 1981). The importance of mussels has been recognized in a number of papers (Tulkki 1960, Heinonen 1961, Bagge et al. 1965, Sunila 1981, Elmgren et al. 1984, Laihonen et al. 1985, Vuorinen et al. 1986, Öst and Kilpi 1997), but there still is a lack of data for comparative studies or for the monitoring of mussel populations in Finland. In particular, there is a need for data on long-term changes in individual abundance (Öst and Kilpi 1997) and on changes in the structure of mussel populations. The purpose of this study was to estimate the current state of the common mussel population in the vicinity of the Island of Seili, and to evaluate its structural parameters and dynamics of reproduction.

2. Material and methods

The material was collected during 17 July-10 September 1996 from typical mussel habitats by the small rocky Islands of Saunasaari, Högholmen and Päiväluoto, near the Island of Seili (Fig. 1). For a
preliminary timing of the reproduction period of the common mussel some field experiments were
done on the spat forming on artificial substrata in 1995.

Investigated localities present typical common mussel habitats in SW Finland. The bottom angle in
those habitats is about 30–40°. The bottom usually changes from rock covered by filamentous algae
at a depth of 1-3 m to barren separated boulders and stones (with sand and gravel in-between) at a
depth of 4-10 m. At depths greater than 10 m the hard substrate gradually disappears beneath the
layer of sand and fine sediments, and the mussel aggregations become rarer and more scattered.
Common mussels were found down to the depth of 12 m. Quantitative samples were collected by
SCUBA-diving at a depth interval of 3-8.5 m, where also the mussel settlements were most dense.
Because of evident differences in the vertical distribution of different sized mussels, noticed visually,
three vertical levels were studied separately (depths of 3 m, 6-6.5 m and 8-8.5 m). Three localities
were investigated: Saunasaari (samples taken from the depths of 3, 6 and 8 m (the last one for
gonads investigation only)), Högholmen (6.5 m) and Päiväluoto (8.5 m).

2.1. Studies of reproduction.

For the analysis of the sexual cycle mussels were collected weekly by towing a triangular bottom
drag at the depth of 8-8.5 m close to the Island of Saunasaari. Condition of the reproductive glands
was evaluated visually and examined on microscopic slides from 20-30 adult individuals from
weekly samples (length > 25 mm). Gametogenesis is a continual process, but for the convenience of
description it can be divided into several stages. The greatest interest lies in the period of spawning
of the mussel settlement as a whole. The subdivisions of the sexual cycle proposed by Chipperfield
(1953) and Lubet (1959) were applied in this work to the analysis of gonad development. In this
scheme the summer periodicity of *M. edulis* gametogenesis can be briefly described as follows
(Maximovich 1985): Stage II: The active gametogenesis. Stage III: The maturity and spawning.
Several separate phases or sub-stages can be distinguished within this stage, such as IIIA1, when the
follicles occupy most of the gonadal section, IIIA2, which occurs immediately before the spawning
condition, IIIB, with partial or complete discharge of the gametes, IIIC, with the restoration of the
gonad tissue after non-completed discharge of gametes, and IIID, with repeated or completed
discharge of the gonads. Stage 0: The period of gonad restoration.

The reproduction of mussels can as well be traced out by its final result, the spat forming. For this
reason an artificial reef, consisting of a network of nylon ropes, was deployed and monitored off the
Island of Saunasaari (Fig. 1) in summer season of 1995.

2.2. Studies of population structure and growth

The structural characteristics of the population were studied the 10th of September 1996, after the
settling of the larvae. Mussels were collected in plastic bags using a diver-operated collecting frame
of 0.1 m² (two frames were from each depth). The structure of every local settlement was studied
separately. All data were later integrated in the population modelling. All the mussels in the
quantitative samples were counted, weighed (g, wet weight) and measured to the nearest 1 mm, the
spat of current season and juveniles to 0.1 mm. Individual age was identified by analysis of the external shell morphology. For this reason variation in the position of the first growth ring were preliminarily investigated in selected well-distinguishable rings from mussels of the previous generation (with age of 1+ years). The length of the mussels during each winter, when their growth is suspended (later referred to as the winter stop-of-growth period), was measured from the yearly growth rings. A total of approximately 500 individuals were studied in this way.

The data on individual ontogenetic growth were summarised for each study locality. The growth rate dynamics of the mussels were studied by reconstructing their ontogenetic linear growth. These data were equalised using a linear modification of the Bertalanffy equation (Bertalanffy 1938) as follows:

\[ L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{\exp\left(-k(t-t_o)\right)}) \]  

where \( L_\infty, k \) and \( t_o \) are parameters, \( L_t \) = shell length (mm), \( t \) = age (years). Evaluation of these equation parameters and the comparison of growth curves were done by analysis of residual dispersion values (Allen 1976) using specially elaborated original software at the Department of Hydrobiology of St.Petersburg State University (Maximovich 1989).

Modelling of seasonal changes in the growth rate was carried out by inserting the sum of day-degrees in the Bertalanffy equation (Ursin 1965). The equation then looks as follows (Sukhotin and Maximovich 1994):

\[ L_D = L_\infty (1 - e^{\exp\left(-k'D-t_o\right)}) \]

where \( L_D \) = mussel's shell length (mm) at age \( D \) (\( D = \) sum of day-degrees in mussel ontogenesis), \( k' = k/D_y \) (\( D_y = \) annual sum of day-degrees). Mussel growth was considered to stop at zero C°.

3. Results

The abundance of mussels varied by one order of magnitude: density ranged from 1250 to 16730 ind. m\(^{-2}\) and biomass from 250 to 2100 g m\(^{-2}\) (Table 1).

3.1. Reproduction

Investigations of gonad tissues revealed some regularities in reproduction. The stage II (active gametogenesis) was already passed by the beginning of our research. The gonads of all mussels had reached maturity (stage IIIA1) by the middle of July (Fig. 2). Ten days later, the gonads of about one half of all individuals appeared to be in pre-spawning condition, and one week later they were in after-spawning condition. Up to 8 August, observation showed either gonad restoration (mainly in males, stage IIIC) or development of the after-spawning condition (stages IIIB and IIID). One week later (16 August) some individuals appeared with gonads corresponding to stage 0. Stage 0
was registered by the end of August in almost half (45%) of the mussels studied, and by the 10 of September in most of them (95%) (Fig. 2).

Thus, in 1996 the discharge of gametes in the settlement of the common mussel occurred in the period from 26 July to 2 August. During this short period, substantial warming of the water (from 14° to 17° C) was registered at the depth of 5-10 m.

Judging from these findings, the discharge of gametes was a short-term and single event. Only 30 % (seven from 24) of individuals investigated actually discharged their gametes completely. In others, the gonads contained remnants of partially non-discharged sexual products. In September we observed the autolysis of gametes, the development of conjunctive tissue and a proliferation of spermatogenesis elements (in males). These observations give no reason to suggest repeated spawning during the season studied.

The season of 1996 was characterized by abnormally low temperatures during the first half of the summer, in other years, the spawning of the common mussel in the Archipelago Sea may occur one to three weeks earlier. In 1995 the mass settling of common mussels spat on artificial reef at Saunasaari was registered 18 of July, which corresponds to the spawning period not later than at the beginning of July.

3.2. Age structure

The range of individual sizes (shell length) was from 0.6 mm (spat) to 44.0 mm (9 years old) (Fig. 3). The largest, oldest (33-44 mm, 9 years old) and most rapidly growing mussels were found at the depth interval of 6-8.5 m, but these are rather rare in the populations studied. The distribution of age groups was different for each sampling location (Table 1). Spat of the current season was found only at a depth of 3 m (Saunasaari), and never deeper. The first growth ring was 0.8-1.9 mm from the tip of shell.

There is a density-difference in the vertical distribution of various age groups. The density of spat at the depth of 3 m was 256 ind. m$^{-2}$. The main part of the settlement there (80 %) was represented by juveniles of age 1+. On the same site at the depth of 6 m the mussels in the age of three to five years were predominant, and young, one-year-old mussels represented only 18 % of the total number. A rather peculiar situation was observed at Högholmen, where the bottom at the depth of 5-8 m was completely covered with a free-floating mat of detached green algae (mainly *Cladophora* spp.) An extremely high density (11920 ind. m$^{-2}$, 71 % of total number) of young mussels of the previous year’s generation (1+) was discovered in association with these mats. Evidently these mussels had formerly been settled on *Cladophora* thallus at lower depths, and sank later together with their detached substrate. On 10 September, at the depth of 6.5 m, all mussels settled inside and under the mats were alive.

3.3. Linear growth
Individual differences in the growth rate of the mussels are considerable as shown by the range of variation around the general growth curve (Fig. 4). The range of shell length variation among equally aged mussels can be as high as 20 mm in the later ontogenetic stages. On the other hand, mussels of equal size can differ in age by as much as four years. The most rapidly growing individuals (up to 41 mm at the end of the seventh year) were registered at the depth of 8 m. The most slowly growing mussel (20 mm, six years old) was found at the depth of 3 m.

The residual variances (Table 2) demonstrated that no differences in average growth rate of mussels from different habitats could be distinguished. Thus individuals of age from two to seven years in every habitat are considered to have a reasonably similar linear growth. The model of a general growth curve for all the mussels measured is described by the equation:

\[ L_t = 140.6(1 - e^{0.0404(t-0.87)}) \]  (3)

In creating the model of seasonal growth, the annual changes in the average sea water temperature (in °C) were grouped, based on regular measurements at the Island of Seili, as follows: Jan-0.7, Feb and March-0., April-2, May-6, June-13.2, July-17.3, Aug-17.5, Sept-14, Oct-10.3, Nov-5.5, Dec-2.5. Thus the sum of annual day-degrees \((D_y)\) is 2726. Incorporating this into (3), the rewritten model of seasonal mussel growth yields:

\[ L_D = 140.6(1 - e^{0.000148(D-0.035)}) \]  (4)

In order to transform the temporal scale into a real-time scale, mussel growth after the winter stop-of-growth was assumed to co-occur with the intensive warming of the water. April 1 was arbitrarily chosen as the starting point. This yielded a curve of the ontogenetic growth of the average individual of M. edulis (Fig. 5). It is important to note that the seasonal changes in the growth rate forecast by this model coincide fairly closely with the average sizes of various aged individuals. Thus the model does in fact adequately reflect the multi-year dynamics of the mussels’ linear growth.

4. Discussion

4.1. The reproductive period

The spawning of mussels at the depth of 5-10 m occurred in 1996 at the end of July. Heinonen (1961) and Sunila (1981) have noted that the main spawning activity of the common mussel in the Archipelago Sea occurs during the two first summer months. In 1960 the spawning of mussels at the town of Naantali (inner archipelago) and at the Island of Lohm (outer archipelago) was registered from the end of May to the end of July at temperatures of 12-15°C (Heinonen 1961). In 1978, near the Tvärminne Biological Station (S coast of Finland), the peak of the spawning of common mussel was observed in July (Sunila 1981). This is in agreement with our data and supports the view of a regular mussel reproductive cycle also in other populations of the Baltic Sea and in nearby areas of the North Sea (Chipperfield 1953, Seed 1969, Kautsky 1982 a).
Evidently in this part of the Baltic Sea the beginning of the mussels’ reproductive period can vary depending on the annual hydrological conditions. For example Heinonen (1961) found remarkable differences (up to one month) in mussel spawning in various habitats of the Archipelago Sea. A similar variability is known for the common mussel population of Kandalaksha Bay in the White Sea (Savilov 1953, Maximovich 1985). Because of the external fertilization of mussels maximal success of reproduction within each local settlement is only possible when spawning is synchronized. In the boreal zone the synchronous discharge of gametes is triggered by temperature (Nelson 1928, Chipperfield 1953, Lubet 1959, Kaufman 1974, Maximovich 1985). Essential conditions for such discharge include not only a certain minimal temperature but also a certain rate and amplitude of temperature change. An additional factor, responsible for the local synchronization of gamete discharge, is biochemical sexual stimulation (Chipperfield 1953, Lubet 1959, Hrs-Brenko 1973, Seed 1969, 1976).

The spawning of common mussels in the way described is also evident from our data, and from the material of Heinonen (1961). The data of Sunila (1981) are not suitable for an adequate study of the spawning process, due to the insufficiently frequent sampling (once a month). However, a similar spawning pattern can be traced in these data on the basis of a sudden change in the gonad index of the mussels in the period from the 10 of June to the 4 of July (Sunila 1981). Only one cycle of gametogenesis was found in the Northern Baltic common mussels by Kautsky (1982 b). There is thus every reason to consider that in the Baltic Sea populations of *M. edulis* (as well as in the White Sea populations) in females only one generation of oocytes exists during the annual cycle of gametogenesis.

It is clear that morphological variation in the condition of the mussel gonads, as observed during the season of 1996, does not indicate the prolongation or repetition of spawning. We consider this to be a general feature of the life cycle of the Northern Baltic Sea common mussel population.

4.2. Population structure

The structural parameters of the mussel populations studied are typical for the Northern Baltic Sea, mussels in the size range of 15-20 mm and the age of 1-3 years predominate the populations (Kautsky 1982 b, Sunila 1981, this study). The presence of juveniles (0+) only at low depths (above 3 m) is usual for the spat of the common mussel in the Baltic Sea. Juveniles use the green filamentous algae as a substrate. This substrate is not suitable for attachment of larger mussels because of too fine structure of the filaments and their ephemeral nature. The filamentous green algal environment is short-lived and seasonal only. Probably the detachment of green algae from the rocks in the autumn and winter also removes the spat of mussels sitting on them. By the next season, those mussels which were associated the previous year as a spat with the green algae belt become the 1+ mussels associated with green bottom-dwelling and decaying algae mats. This may explain the high density of young (1+) molluscs found in September within, upon and beneath the layer of detached green algae at the Island of Högholmen.
A decrease in average and maximal size and even in the coverage and biomass of the common mussel have been reported from several areas in the NW part of the Gulf of Finland (Hario et al. 1992, Hollmen et al. 1996, Öst and Kilpi 1997). It was suggested that the reason for the observed change in mean mussel size may be long-term salinity changes affecting the growth rate, maximal size and recruitment of mussels. No anomalies in size-frequency distribution (which could demonstrate an elimination of older and bigger mussels) were found in our study in the Archipelago Sea despite the fact that a decrease of salinity has also been found in the Archipelago Sea (Vuorinen et al. 1998).

The comparability of fragmentary data collected over long periods of time from various localities and by different methods is a separate and important problem. It seems incorrect to compare quantitatively samples collected with bottom grabs, with a triangular scraper and by SCUBA-diving quantitative techniques (this study). It can only be mentioned that the size frequency distribution and maximal sizes of common mussels in population from Seili area are very similar to ones in Hanko peninsula area in 1992-1994, but differs from situation there described in 1996, as it was reported by Öst and Kilpi (1997). The population from Seili by now can be characterized by normal size frequency distribution and regular recruitment with the juveniles.

4.3. Growth

The basis for the linear growth model was the annual periodicity of the growth rings. The experimental investigations by Kautsky (1982 b) confirm the suitability of the method. The most common cause of systematic mistakes in bivalve age determination are the difficulties in finding the stop-of-growth mark of the first winter. According to our results, juveniles reach a length of 1 -3.5 mm by the end of the first season, this is in agreement with the experimental data of Kautsky (1982 b) for the Swedish coast of the Baltic Sea.

The average linear growth rate of mussels from the Seili area on the basis of reconstructing the general growth curve (35 mm after eight years, Fig. 4) is fairly similar, although slightly higher, to that of mussels from the Tvärminne area (31 mm after nine years, Sunila 1981) and about 1.5 times as high as that found on the Swedish coast of the Baltic Sea (Kautsky 1982 b). It is evident that there is a substantial difference between the growth rates of mussels in the Archipelago Sea and on the Swedish coast, despite the approximately equal thermo-haline conditions of these areas. Such a difference may result from the feeding conditions of the mussels. Kautsky (1982 b) has noted a considerable increase in the growth rate in individuals placed in suspended cages, i.e. in optimal feeding conditions. However, the interesting fact of such a rapid growth rate of mussels in the Archipelago Sea needs to be confirmed by additional experimental work.

One important finding is the lack of differences in the growth of mussels from different depths and habitats. Thus, in spite of the observed significant natural variability of equally-aged molluscs, the populational characteristics of their ontogenetic growth can be predicted on the basis of the dynamic of growth of an average individual. Analogous observations have been made on the populations of common mussels in the White Sea (Sukhotin and Maximovich 1994). However, it is
important that a good correspondence is achieved between the seasonal dynamics of linear growth and a convenient and easily measured environmental parameter such as the water temperature.

The practical importance of this model lies in the possibility of predicting the main structural parameters of local populations of common mussels without separate studies. These prognoses could be improved by including in the model data on regional average temperatures collected since 1966 by the Island of Seili, and data on the average growth rate collected by reconstruction of the individual mussel growth rate (approximately 500 individuals aged from zero to nine years) over the last nine years. For prognoses of mussel production characteristics (biomass, production, annual harvest), accurate monitoring data on the mussel abundance and its dynamics should be added to the model.

This particular model (Fig. 5) has regional application, it can be applied to natural settlements of the common mussel in the entire Archipelago Sea, as well as without substantial changes – to neighboring areas of the Baltic Sea, characterized by similar temperature conditions. The approach itself seems to be a universally applicable one. The basic requirements of the model are: use of Bertalanffy equation as the model for growth, high correlation of the mussel growth rate with the annual temperature changes, and reliability of mussel age determination by annual rings. Using this approach similar regional models can be calculated for every area in the boreal zone where considerable seasonal gradients of environmental parameters are normal (Sukhotin and Maximovich 1994).
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TABLE 1. Structure of *M. edulis* settlements at different depth and sites nearby the Island of Seili, Archipelago Sea of Finland (Northern Baltic Sea) in summer-autumn 1996. L = average shell length, mm, N = density, ind m\(^{-2}\), B = biomass, g m\(^{-2}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>3 m</th>
<th>6 m</th>
<th>6.5 m</th>
<th>8 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saunasaari</td>
<td>Saunasaari</td>
<td>Högholmen</td>
<td>Päivälurot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0+</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7348</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>91.90</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>62.30</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39.80</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9156</td>
<td>253.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>253.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Analysis of residual variances in the average values of *Mytilus edulis* shell length (in mm from top of shell to winter stop-of-growth marks) in growth curves (Fig. 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$SS$</th>
<th>$S^2$</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$F_r(\alpha&lt;0.05)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Separate equations</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.23</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common equation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48.94</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $df =$ degrees of freedom $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (n_i - 3))$, where $m =$ number of growth curves, $n_i =$ data number for different equation parameters), $SS =$ standard deviation, $S^2 =$ variance, $F =$ $F$-ratio.
REFERENCES


LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Fig. 1. Map of studied area: 1 = localities where common mussels were sampled, 2 = site of artificial reef location.

Fig. 2. Percentage of *M.edulis* individuals in different stages (1-IIIA1, 2-IIIA2, 3-IIIB, 4-IIIC, 5-IIID, 6-0) of the gonad development in July-September 1996 by the Island of Saunasaari, at the depth of 8-8.5 m.

Fig. 3. Size (class interval 2 mm) frequency distribution of *M.edulis* (number of individuals m$^{-2}$) in different depths nearby the Island of Seili.

Fig. 4. Bertalanffy models of group linear growth of *M.edulis* settlements nearby the Island of Seili. Outer dotted lines show range of individual growth rate. Solid lines show average shell length during the winter stop-of-growth period in different habitats. Bold dotted line (curve A) is the general growth curve (Eq. 3).

Fig. 5. Model of seasonal linear growth of *M.edulis* nearby the Island of Seili. Starting point of the settling arbitrarily set as first of August (dotted vertical line). 1 = average length of shells in winter, 2 = length of shells 10 of September 1996. Vertical lines: amplitude of variation between equally aged mussels. Thickness of lines indicates position of 95% confidence limit. The first of April marks the beginning of mussel growth after a winter pause.